

Corrigendum

of 27 January 2022

to Annexes I and Ia of Decision No 11/2021 of the European Union Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators of 13 August 2021 on the market-based allocation process of cross-zonal capacity for the exchange of balancing capacity for the Core CCR

In Annex I, Article 6(9):

for: ‘The determination of the forecasted market value of cross-zonal capacity for the exchange of energy in single day-ahead coupling shall be performed by the same entity which operates the cross-zonal allocation function.’

read: ‘The determination of the forecasted market value of cross-zonal capacity for the exchange of energy in single day-ahead coupling shall be performed by one entity.’¹

In Annex Ia, Article 6(9):

for: ~~‘4. The concept and computation of adjustment factors and mark-ups to the determination of the forecasted market value of cross-zonal capacity for the exchange of energy between bidding zones shall be included and justified in the methodology for the establishment of common and harmonised rules and processes for the exchange and procurement of balancing capacity according to article 33(1) of the EB Regulation.~~
~~5. The TSOs of each application of the methodology of market based allocation shall monitor, demonstrate and publish on the ENTSO-E website the efficiency of the forecasting, the appropriateness of the choice of reference days, and application of adjustment factors and mark-ups on at least a yearly basis, including a comparison of the forecasted and actual market values of the cross-zonal capacity for the exchange of~~

¹ Decision No 11/2021 states in its Recital (118): ‘Another provision addressed by the common response of all Core TSOs to ACER’s preliminary position was Article 6(9) of Annex I. While the TSOs acknowledged that the forecast should be done by one entity for the whole CCR, they questioned the positive impacts on efficiency to require this entity to be the same as the one operating the cross-zonal capacity allocation function. In ACER’s view the chosen forecasting process and the operation of the cross-zonal capacity allocation function are linked, which is why ACER expects them to be performed by the same entity. However, while the relevant responsibilities should remain the same, ACER acknowledges that in principle the operation of both tasks could be divided and the efficiencies related to its operations are currently not fully clear. Therefore, ACER decided to leave this open in the scope of this decision and softened the requirement of Article 6(9) of Annex I accordingly.’ By mistake, the final text of Article 6(9) of Annex I (and Annex Ia) of Decision No 11/2021 has not implemented the softening wording discussed; it inaccurately refers to ‘the same entity which operates the cross-zonal allocation function’, instead of ‘one entity’.

~~energy and take appropriate actions in cooperation with the Core TSOs and respective regulatory authorities, where needed.~~

~~6.9. The rules in this methodology for market-based in single day-ahead coupling shall be performed by the same entity which operates the cross-zonal allocation for calculating the forecasted market value of cross-zonal capacity for the exchange of energy between bidding zones shall take into account the effects that the potential reduction of cross-zonal capacity from SDAC may have on the critical network element associated with a contingency used in capacity calculation of the CCR in the context of the day-ahead flow-based capacity calculation function.'~~

read: ~~'4. The concept and computation of adjustment factors and mark-ups to~~The determination of the forecasted market value of cross-zonal capacity for the exchange of energy between bidding zones shall be included and justified in the methodology for the establishment of common and harmonised rules and processes for the exchange and procurement of balancing capacity according to article 33(1) of the EB Regulation.

~~5. The TSOs of each application of the methodology of market-based allocation shall monitor, demonstrate and publish on the ENTSO-E website the efficiency of the forecasting, the appropriateness of the choice of reference days, and application of adjustment factors and mark-ups on at least a yearly basis, including a comparison of the forecasted and actual market values of the cross-zonal capacity for the exchange of energy and take appropriate actions in cooperation with the Core TSOs and respective regulatory authorities, where needed.~~

~~6.9. The rules in this methodology for market-based in single day-ahead coupling shall be performed by one entity~~allocation for calculating the forecasted market value of cross-zonal capacity for the exchange of energy between bidding zones shall take into account the effects that the potential reduction of cross-zonal capacity from SDAC may have on the critical network element associated with a contingency used in capacity calculation of the CCR in the context of the day-ahead flow-based capacity calculation.'
